

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/749,819	12/28/2000	Hiroaki Fukuda	201392US2	5195		
22850 75	22850 7590 05/04/2005			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TUCKER, WESLEY J			
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2623			

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/749,819	FUKUDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	\	Wes Tucker	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appea	ers on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the lift the period for reply specified above is less than If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxing the period for reply within the set or extended period for the property of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply wi mum statutory period will a or reply will, by statute, ca nonths after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may a reply be thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frouse the application to become ABANDOI use the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 15 Dec	ember 2004.	•			
2a) This action is FINAL .		ction is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	_ is/are withdrawn					
Application Papers			•			
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 Dec Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object.	ember 2000 is/are: y objection to the dra luding the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyance. So is required if the drawing(s) is c	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-22-04</u> . 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 15th, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendments and Arguments

- 2. Applicant's response to the last Office Action, filed December 15th 2004, has been entered and made of record.
 - 3. Applicant has amended claims 1-17. Claims 1-20 are pending.
- 4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. However a new rejection is presented in view of the new reference U.S. Patent 5,355,508 to Kan.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2623

5.

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

Page 3

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patents 5,355,508 to Kan and

6,704,456 to Venable.

7. With regard to claim 1, Kan discloses an image processing apparatus configured to receive image data (column 7, lines 45-50), an arithmetic processing unit configured to process said image data relating to said image data received (Fig. 2, element 108) to provide processed image data representing a reproduction of the

A programmable arithmetic processing section of SIMD (Single Instruction Multiple Data Stream) type configured to provide simultaneous processing of plural image data portions (Figs. 1, 2, and 4 and column 6, lines 24-30 and column 6, lines 36-51). Kan teaches that the SIMD is used in the image processing field and is used to

effect faster processing on each block of data. Data blocks are interpreted in the field of

image processing as image portions.

image, said processing unit including:

Kan further discloses a memory configured with a plurality of addressable memory locations, with each memory location storing image data portions relating to

image data portions relating to the image data received (Fig. 2, elements 42 and 98-101).

Kan further discloses a memory controller section configured to access at least some of said addressable memory locations to control transfer of the image data portions stored thereat to said programmable arithmetic processing section depending on types of said simultaneous processing to provide said plural image data portions undergoing said simultaneous processing to provide said processing to provide said processing image data representing the reproduction of said original document (Fig. 2, element 103 and column 6, lines 38-51). Here the input controller 103 is interpreted as a memory controller as the input controller allows access to memory locations and distributes data to the arithmetic processing section 108.

Kan does not disclose the feature a sensor board arranged to receive image data based on a scanned document or an image writing unit arranged to receive and use processed image data representing the reproduction of said original document to produce a second image document. The use of scanners, printers, and the like are exceedingly well known in the art. It is common practice to scan image data and then perform image processing on that image data, just as it is common practice to output a processed image to a monitor or printer or any acceptable output device. Venable discloses a scanner (column 5, lines 60-68) which inherently contains a sensor board arranged to receive image data based on a scanned original document. Venable teaches that image processing is performed on the input image and also discloses a printer (column 5, lines 60-68), which is interpreted as an image writing unit arranged to

Art Unit: 2623

transfer said reproduction of said original document to a second document. Venable discloses a scanner for scanning images and then performing some kind of image processing and then printing the finished image on a printer (column 5, lines 60-68). The motivation to combine the two references of Venable and Kan is the same that would motivate anyone skilled in the art to input or output a processed image. An image must be input to the processor disclosed by Kan and the processed image must be output in some form in order to show or appreciate the results obtained from that processing. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a scanner and a printer as taught by Venable and as is well known in the art to input and output data to and from the processor disclosed by Kan.

Page 5

8. With regard to claim 2, Kan discloses the image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said memory controller section (Fig. 2, element 103) is connected to a control register section (Fig. 2, element 11), and said control register section controls the memory controller section to provide a data transfer mode setting function for setting a data transfer mode of the addressable memory locations accessed by the memory controller section (column 6, lines 34-42). The system controller 11 is interpreted as a control register section as the system controller issues instructions to the memory controller (input controller 103) in order to transfer data from the memory 42 to the arithmetic processing unit 108. This is interpreted as setting the transfer mode as the transfer is determined by the system controller and the input controller.

Art Unit: 2623

9. With regard to claim 3, Kan and Venable disclose the image processing apparatus according to claim 2, and Kan further discloses wherein said control register section is configured to control changes between a random access mode in which an address is set to access the memory, and an automatic access mode in which an address is automatically updated to access the memory, in accordance with a control signal provided from outside (column 8, lines 62-67 and column 9, lines 1-13). Kan discloses the controller to have the capability of performing both random memory access and automatic memory access. The controller manages parallel processing and memory access and performs both neighborhood processing in which the memory location must be set to locate the potion of the image to be processed and general processing in which processing of the entire image occurs and the memory address is read automatically.

Page 6

- 10. Claims 6, 7 and 8 are similar to claims 1, 2 and 3 except that 6, 7 and 8 make reference to means. It is understood that the means are included in the elements such as registers, controllers, units, etc. Therefore the discussions of claims 1, 2 and 3 apply for claims 6, 7 and 8.
- 11. Claims 11, 12 and 13 are similar to claims 1, 2 and 3 except that 11, 12 and 13 make reference to method. It is understood that the intended method is included in the apparatus claimed in claims 1, 2 and 3. Therefore the discussions of claims 1, 2 and 3 apply for claims 11, 12 and 13.

12. With regard to claim 16, Kan discloses a computer readable medium for storing instructions, which when executed by a computer, causes the computer to perform an image processing method to be executed by an image processing apparatus, said image processing apparatus including a programmable SIMD type arithmetic image processing section for simultaneous processing a plurality of image data portions (Fig. 2 and column 6, lines 14-30), each image data portion being digital signals prepared based on an input image (column 7, lines 45-50) and a memory having a plurality of addressable memory locations (Fig. 2, element 42) accessible by a memory controller section (Fig. 2, element 103) to provide image data stored at said plurality of addressable memory locations as image data portions related to the image to said arithmetic processing section (Fig. 2, element 108) as said plurality of image data portions for simultaneous processing (column 6, lines 35-38), the method comprising steps of:

controlling transfer of at least some of the image data portions relating to the document image data between said addressable memory locations (Fig. 2, element 42) and said arithmetic processing section (Fig. 2, element 108), by using said memory controller section (Fig. 2, element 108) and controlling transfer of processed data from said programmable arithmetic processing section to provide a processed reproduction of said document image (column 6, lines 35-50). Here the input controller 103 is interpreted as a memory controller as the input controller allows access to memory locations 42 and distributes data to the arithmetic processing section 108.

Kan does not disclose the feature a sensor board arranged to receive image data based on a scanned document or an image writing unit arranged to receive and use processed image data representing the reproduction of said original document to produce a second image document. The use of scanners, printers, and the like are exceedingly well known in the art. It is common practice to scan image data and then perform image processing on that image data, just as it is common practice to output a processed image to a monitor or printer or any acceptable output device. Venable discloses a scanner (column 5, lines 60-68) which inherently contains a sensor board arranged to receive image data based on a scanned original document. Venable teaches that image processing is performed on the input image and also discloses a printer (column 5, lines 60-68), which is interpreted as an image writing unit arranged to transfer said reproduction of said original document to a second document. Venable discloses a scanner for scanning images and then performing some kind of image processing and then printing the finished image on a printer (column 5, lines 60-68). The motivation to combine the two references of Venable and Kan is the same that would motivate anyone skilled in the art to input or output a processed image. An image must be input to the processor disclosed by Kan and the processed image must be output in some form in order to show or appreciate the results obtained from that processing. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a scanner and a printer as taught by Venable and as is well known in the art to input and output data to and from the processor disclosed by Kan.

Art Unit: 2623

13. With regard to claim 17, Kan and Venable disclose the image processing apparatus according to claim 1, and Kan further discloses comprising an image data control unit arranged to expand an image are[a] of said image data (column 2, lines 26-44). Kan discloses performing geometric transformations, which is interpreted to mean expanding an image area.

Page 9

- 14. With regard to claim 18, Kan and Venable disclose the image processing apparatus according to claim 17, but do not explicitly disclose wherein said image data control unit is arranged to scale said image data, however scaling images is well known in the art and Examiner takes official notice.
- 15. With regard to claim 19, Kan and Venable disclose the image processing apparatus according to claim 17 and Kan further discloses wherein said image data control unit is arranged to synthesize a plurality of sets of image data (column 6, lines 40-45). The different image data blocks are processed and then sent back to locations in the segmented memory so the data control unit (Fig. 2, element 11) must be inherently configured to synthesize a plurality of sets of image data as image data is divided among a plurality of memories and processors.
- 16. With regard to claim 20, Kan and Venable disclose the image processing apparatus according to claim 19, and Venable discloses wherein at least one of said plurality of sets of image data is communicated to said image processing apparatus

from another apparatus (column 5, lines 64-68). Here Venable discloses images that can be stored in memory, printed, or transferred via network.

Page 10

- 17. Claims 4, 9, and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patents 5,355,508 to Kan and 6,704,456 to Venable and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,023,746 to Arimilli et al.
- 18. With regard to claim 4, Kan and Venable disclose the method of claim 2. but do not disclose wherein said control register is configured to control the memory controller section to read data redundantly from a single addressable memory location of said memory, in accordance with a control signal from outside, and to set a redundant readout transfer mode to configure the memory controller section to transfer the redundantly read data to said arithmetic processing section, such that a plurality of processing elements in said arithmetic processing section receive the redundantly read data from the single addressable memory location.

Arimilli discloses reading data redundantly from a cache directory using a redundant address index in a cache. Arimilli teaches that if an error occurs when reading the address from the first cache that the address is read from the second address location. This is interpreted to read on the claimed features of claim 4, because the data is read from redundantly from two address lines, which reference the

same memory location for reading data out. Arimilli teaches that the redundant reading is done as a way to avoid an addressing error fro reading out data to be processed. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the redundant reading method of Arimilli in combination with the memory configuration taught by Kan in order to avoid addressing errors in reading from multiple memory locations in performing parallel processing.

- 19. With regard to claims 9 and 14, the discussion of claim 4 applies.
- 20. Claims 5,10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patents 5,355,508 to Kan and U.S. Patent 6,704,456 to Venable and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,229,954 to Yamagami et al.
- 21. With regard to claim 5, Kan and Venable disclose the image processing apparatus according to claim 2, but does not disclose the apparatus wherein said control register reads data from said arithmetic processing section by thinning out, in accordance with a control signal provided from outside, and sets a thinning-out read transfer mode for transferring data to said memory. Yamagami discloses a thinning out process (column 4, lines 15-25). Here a thinning-out process circuit (Fig.2, element 204) is disclosed and is controlled by the bus controller (Fig.2, element 206) which controls the data transfer between memory and the thinning out circuit and is therefore

the memory control. The bus controller receives an outside signal from the External I/F Controller (Fig.2, element 207) and sets the data transfer to thinning-out. Thinning-out of data in a digital image environment is useful because memory is limited and digital images contain a relatively large amount of data. Any thinning out or minimization of data needed to represent the digital image will result in more available memory to be used. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the thinning out technique of Yamagami in order to better utilize limited memory in the environment of digital imaging.

22. With regard to claims 10 and 15, the discussion of claim 5 applies.

Contact Information

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wes Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-7427. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (571) 272-7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wes Tucker

4-28-05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 13